



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	Fil	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/516,569	09/26/2005		Thomas Sonnenrein	10191/3587	5517
26646	7590	11/30/2006		EXAMINER	
KENYON ONE BROA		ON LLP	TO, TUAN C		
NEW YORK, NY 10004			· .	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•			3663	

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commons	10/516,569	SONNENREIN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
·	Tuan C. To	3663					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time  rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	J.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 De</u>	ecember 2004 and 13 November	2006.					
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	secution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-33</u> is/are pending in the application							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>26-30,32 and 33</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-25 and 31</u> is/are rejected.							
	•						
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 December 2004</u> is/ar	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecto	ed to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)  Interview Summary						
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/18/2006</u> .	6) Other:						

Page 2

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant's election without traverse of claims 17-25, and 31 in the reply filed on 11/13/2006 is acknowledged.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 17-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 (second paragraph) as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In the claims, the phrase "partial functionalities" was held to be indefinite since it was not clear whether the partial functionalities are computer program instructions. The applicant is required to provide explanation in order to define more clearly the invention.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 17-25, and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (a) as being anticipated by Saito et al. (US 20020044049A1).

Page 3

Saito et al. directs to a vehicle system/method for performing a remote diagnosis of a vehicle, comprising: "activating the remote diagnosis by establishing a communication connection between a server and a data terminal located in the vicinity of the vehicle". In Saito et al., a communication connection is established between the mobile terminal (111) and the server (100) via the network (103) (Saito et al., figure 1). A vehicle diagnosis is remotedly performed by transmitting the vehicle data collected from the vehicle (104) (See Saito et al., figure 1) to server computer (100). The mobile telephone set (111) is activated to transmit the vehicle data to the server (100). The server (100 transmits results of evaluation to mobile terminal (111) after evaluating the answers in the server (Saito et al, figure 11; page 1, paragraph 0009, abnormal analysis process is done at the server of a service company after the abnormal data received from mobile terminal via the Internet 103).

#### Conclusions

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan C To whose telephone number is (571) 272-6985. The examiner can normally be reached on from 8:00AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Keith can be reached on 571-272-6878.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3663

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patent Examiner,

Tuan C To

November 22, 2006